

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

JOE ANDREW SALAZAR,

Plaintiff,

v.

HTC CORPORATION,

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

No. 2:16-CV-01096-JRG-RSP

ORDER OVERRULING OBJECTIONS

The Court referred this action to United States Magistrate Judge Roy S. Payne pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636. Now before the Court are Defendant HTC Corporation's Objections (Dkt. No. 112) to Magistrate Judge Payne's Claim Construction Opinion and Order (Dkt. No. 108). Plaintiff responded. *See* Pl.'s Resp. in Opp'n (Dkt. No. 113).

Having considered Magistrate Judge Payne's claim construction order, Defendant's objections, and Plaintiff's response, the Court concludes Defendant has not shown Judge Payne clearly erred or reached a conclusion contrary to law. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A). Accordingly, the Court **OVERRULES** Defendant HTC Corporation's Objections (Dkt. No. 112).

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 13th day of February, 2018.



RODNEY GILSTRAP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE